Harsukh Educational Charitable Society International Journal of Community Health and Medical Research

Journal home page: <u>www.ijchmr.com</u> doi: 10.21276/ijchmr

Official Publication of "Harsukh Educational Charitable Society" [Regd.]

Original Article

A RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL COMPARING ADJUNCTIVE ACTION OF TRAMADOL WITH ROPIVACAINE

Sunita B. Wadekar¹, Raju Jharbade¹, Sunita Dhupia¹, Neil Alwani² Santosh Pancholi²

¹Associate Professor, ²Senior Resident, Department Of Anaesthesiology, Amaltas Institute Of Medical Sciences, Dewas

ABSTRACT

Background: Epidural nerve block is extensively used for analgesia during the postoperative period. Unmanaged pain harvests upsetting results therefore good postoperative pain administration is vital part of good anaesthetic training. Various trials have been conducted that prove tramadol to be an effective and safe during epidural analgesia. The present study was aimed to compare adjunctive action of tramadol with ropivacaine for postoperative epidural analgesia following lower limb surgeries. **Materials and methods:** A randomised controlled trial was conducted in a prospective manner. All the subjects were informed about the study and a written consent was obtained from them in their vernacular language. Cardiac monitors were attached on shifting the patient to operation theatre. Baseline parameters were recorded. Epidural block was administered under complete aseptic condition in sitting position. Assessment of sensory block was done till T₉-T₁₀. A score of 2 was regarded as complete anaesthesia. Chi square test was applied as a test of significance. P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. **Results:** A total of 48 candidates took part in this randomised study. Age and weight are expressed as mean +/- standard deviation. Ratio of male and female candidates in Group I is 15/9 and in Group II is 19/5. The onset of both sensory and motor block did not show any significant difference between the two groups. Pain scores were lesser in Group II subjects but there was no significant difference between the two groups. **Conclusion:** We came to the conclusion that combination of ropivacaine and tramodol gives improved results compared to ropivicaine alone.

Keywords: Analgesia, Bupivacaine, Pain, Ropivacaine

Corresponding Author: Dr. Raju Jharbade, Associate Professor, Department Of Anaesthesiology, Amaltas Institute Of Medical Sciences, Dewas

This article may be cited as: Wedekar SB, Jharbade R, Dhupia S, Alwani S and Pancholi S.Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Adjunctive Action Of Tramadol With Ropivacaine. HECS Int J Comm Health Med Res 2018; 4(4):20-22

NTRODUCTION

Epidural nerve block is extensively used for analgesia during the postoperative period. Unmanaged pain harvests upsetting results therefore good postoperative pain administration is vital part of good anaesthetic training. Epidural analgesia with or without additives has given different advantages over other treatment modalities. Bupivacaine was initially used for epidural analgesia but has been now replaced by ropivacaine due to its fewer side effects on CNS at comparable plasma levels. But the motor block with ropivacaine is moderately lesser than bupivacaine. Combination of local anaesthesia and opoids provided a better analgesia. Tramadol is an opoid agonist that interacts with the central nervous system and inhibits the removal of nor adrenaline and serotonin. Various trials have been conducted that prove tramadol to be an effective and safe during epidural analgesia.

an adjuvant⁸ but various side effects were associated with fentanyl that constrained its use in the perioperative treatments.⁹ Some studies have been undertaken to compare epidural analgesia with or without tramadol during the postoperative analgesia period but many of them have been used in labour setting.¹⁰ The present study was aimed to compare adjunctive action of tramadol with ropivacaine for postoperative epidural analgesia following lower limb surgeries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A randomised controlled trial was conducted in a prospective manner. All the subjects were informed about the study and a written consent was obtained from them in their vernacular language. The study was approved by the institutional ethical board. A total of 48 patients belonging to ASA grade I and ASA

grade II who underwent lower limb surgery under spinal epidural anaesthesia were enrolled in the study. Subjects with history of heart disease, liver disease, allergy to regional analgesia, with spinal deformities were excluded from the study. Subjects were randomly divided into two groups. Group I patients were given 0.2% ropivacaine and Group II subjects received 0.2% ropivacaine along with 5 mg/ml of tramadol. Intraoperative and postoperative drug administration was given according to the condition. The drug administration step was blinded, the anaesthetic was not aware of the drug that was administered. Premedication with 0.5 mg alprazolam and 150 mg ranitidine 10-12 hours before surgery. Cardiac monitors were attached on shifting the patient to operation theatre. Baseline parameters were recorded. Epidural block was administered under complete aseptic condition in sitting position. Assessment of sensory block was done till T₉-T₁₀. A score of 2 was regarded as complete anaesthesia. Sensory tests were performed at regular intervals and regarded successful if it happened in 25 minutes. The duration of sensory block was regarded from the time of onset till the return of sensation. Motor block was assessed by movement of lower limb. Time of onset of _ motor block and duration of motor block was evaluated. Pain was recorded on a 5-point scale with 0 meaning no pain and 5 meaning unbearable pain. The time of first analgesia was recorded. All the data was arranged in a tabulated form and analysed using SPSS software and expressed as mean +/- Standard deviation. Chi square test was applied as a test of significance. P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

A total of 48 candidates took part in this randomised study. Table 1 demonstrates the demographic data associated with the patients. Age and weight are expressed as mean +/- standard deviation. Ratio of male and female candidates in Group I is 15/9 and in Group II is 19/5. The mean weight in Group I was 62.83 +/- 5.37 and in Group II was 58.94 +/- 5.21. The mean age in group I was 44.3+/- 10.7 and in Group II was 40.8 +/- 11.5. The onset of both sensory and motor block did not show any significant difference between the two groups. The duration of sensory block in Group II (7.18 + / -1.57) was much more than Group I (3.57 + / -0.98). The p value was significant amongst the two groups. The duration of motor block was also higher in Group II (5.60 +/- 1.05) compared to Group I (3.53+/- 1.15) (p<0.05). (Table 2) Pain scores were lesser in Group II subjects but there was no significant difference between the two groups. The mean first analgesic time was 6.9 +/-1.65 hours in group II compared to 5.1 +/- 2.60 hours in Group I, which was significantly higher as compared to Group I (Table 3)

Table 1: Demographic Data

	Group I	Group II
Age	44.3+/- 10.7	40.8 +/- 11.5
Weight	62.83 +/- 5.37	58.94 +/- 5.21
Male: Female	15/9	19/5

Table 2: Sensory and Motor Block

		Group I	Group II	P value
Sensory Block	Onset (mins)	11.17 +/- 2.66	10.47 +/- 2.21	>0.05
	Duration (hrs)	3.57 +/-0.98	7.18 +/- 1.57	<0.05
Motor Block	Onset (mins)	14.47 +/- 2.72	12.73 +/- 2.84	>0.05
	Duration (hrs)	3.53+/- 1.15	5.60 +/- 1.05	<0.05

Table 3: Pain scale and First analgesia time

	Group I	Group II	P value
Pain scale	0.43 +/- 0.51	0.40 +/- 0.33	>0.05
First analgesia time (hrs)	5.1 +/- 2.60	6.9 +/- 1.65	<0.05

DISCUSSION

Regional anaesthesia is very famous now a days in orthopaedic practice due to the various advantages it bids. It gives better pain relief, lesser side effects and decreased risk as compared with general anaesthesia.11 As ropivacaine was introduced, there has been increased interest to evaluate its safety and efficacy. Different studies have been done to compare 0.125% ropivacaine and 0.125% bupivacaine as epidural block. 12 Combination of anaesthesia with opoids is used vividly to increase the onset of sensory and motor block.¹³ Tramadol and fentanyl are the two most widely used adjunctive agents.¹⁴ Tramadol is a synthetic agonist that inhibits the transmission of nociceptive stimuli by enhancing the inhibitory action of descending pain pathway. According to our study The duration of sensory block in Group II (7.18 ± 1.57) was much more than Group I (3.57 ± 0.98) . The p value was significant amongst the two groups. The duration of motor block was also higher in Group II (5.60 +/- 1.05) compared to Group I (3.53+/-1.15) (p<0.05). The results of the study were similar to the study performed by Sawhney et al. 16 As per the study conducted by Berti et al¹⁷ amongst patients who underwent major surgery, no difference in hemodynamic status was seen. According to our study Pain scores were lesser in Group II subjects but there was no significant difference between the two groups. The mean first analgesic time was 6.9 +/- 1.65 hours in group II compared to 5.1 +/- 2.60 hours in Group I, which was significantly higher as compared to Group I. The study performed by Ravi Madhussudhara showed better VAS scores &enhanced duration of block. The major difference being that in this study, supraclavicular brachial plexus block was given. 18 Geze et al did a study to compare tramadol and fentanyl as in adjunctive agents to local anaesthesia while giving axillary nerve block and concluded that tramadol provides better postoperative analgesia and enhanced

quality of block.¹⁴ According to a study by yunxia fan et al¹⁹ on comparing tramadol & fentanyl as adjunctive to ropivacaine in epidural analgesia for labour pain, they concluded that tramadol was more effective as compared to fentanyl. The study performed by Krishan Yogesh Sawhney et al¹⁶ who presented a similar incidence of side effects in all their groups. The few side effects associated with our study was smaller sample size and the degree of ambulation was not evaluated.

CONCLUSION

We came to the conclusion that combination of ropivacaine and tramodol gives improved results compared to ropivicaine alone. The duration of motor and sensory block was also enhanced and it provided a safer postoperative analgesia with fewer side effects and good analgesia.

REFERENCES

- Apfelbaum J, Chen C, Mehta S, Gan T. Postoperative pain experience: Results from a national survey suggest postoperative pain continues to be undermanaged. *Anaesth Analg*. 2003;97:534–40.
- Choi PT, Bhandari M, Scott J, Douketis J. Epidural analgesia for pain relief following hip or knee replacement. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003;(3):CD003071
- Casati A, Baciarello M. Enantiomeric Local Anaesthetics: Can Ropivacaine and Levobupivacaine Improve Our Practice? Current Drug Therapy. 2006;1(1):85-89.
- Merson N. A comparison of motor block between ropivacaine and bupivacaine for continuous labor epidural analgesia. AANA J. 2001;69(1):54-48.
- 5. Lehmann KA. Tramadol in acute pain. Drugs. 1997;53: 25–33
- Yildiz TS, Ozdamar D, Bagus F, Solak M, Toker K. Levobupivacaine-tramadol combination for caudal block in children: a randomized, double-blinded, prospective study. Paediatr Anaesth. 2010;20:524–9.
- Siddik-Sayyid S, Aouad-Maroun M, Sleiman D, Sfeir M, Baraka A. Epidural tramadol for postoperative pain after cesarean section. Can J Anaesth. 1999;46:731–5.
- Halpern SH, Breen TW, Campbell DC, Muir HA, Kronberg J, Nunn R, et al. A multicenter, randomized, controlled trial comparing bupivacaine with ropivacaine for labor analgesia. Anesthesiology. 2003;98:1431–5.
- Cashman JN, Dolin SJ. Respiratory and haemodynamic effects of acute postoperative pain management: evidence from published data. Br J Anaesth. 2004:93:212–23.
- Akhtar N., Butt T.A., Nazeer T., Shah A. And Zarqa.
 Comparison Of Efficacy Of Ropivacine Alone With Tramadol – Ropivacaine Combination In Supraclavicular Brachial Plexus Block For Upper Limb Surgery. Biomedica Vol. 31, Issue 4, Oct. – Dec., 2015

- Kean J, Wigderowitz CA, Coventry DM. Continuous interscalene infusion and single injection using levobupivacaine for analgesia after surgery of the shoulder. J Bone Joint Surg. 2006; 88-B (9): 1173-77.
- Capogna G, Celleno D, Fusco P, Lyons G, Columb M. Relative potencies of bupivacaine and ropivacaine for analgesia in labour. *Br J Anaesth.* 1999;82(3):371-73.
- Cline E, Franz D. Polley RD, Maye J, Burkard J, Pellegrini J. Analgesia and effectiveness of levobupivacaine compared with ropivacaine in patients undergoing an axillary brachial plexus block. AANA, 2004; 72 (5): 339.45
- Geze S, Ulusoy H, Engin Ertürk1, Cekic B, Arduc C. Comparison of Local Anesthetic Mixtures with Tramadol or Fentanyl for Axillary Plexus Block in Orthopaedic Upper Extremity Surgery. Eur J Gen Med. 2012; 9 (2): 118-123.
- 15. Robaux S, Blunt C, Viel E, Cuvillon P, Nouguier P, Dau-tel G, Soileau S, Girard F, Bouaziz H. Tramadol Added to 1.5% Mepivacaine for Axillary Brachial Plexus Block Improves Postoperative Analgesia Dose-Dependently. A & A, 2004; 98 (4): 1172-1177.
- 16. Krishan Yogesh Sawhneyl, Sandeep Kundra2, Anju Grewal3, Sunil Katyal4, Gurdeep Singh5, Ananjit Kaur. A Randomized Double Blinded Comparison of Epidural Infusion of Bupivacaine, Ropivacaine, Bupivacaine-Fentanyl, Ropivacaine-Fentanyl for Postoperative Pain Relief in Lower Limb Surgeries. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2015 Sep, Vol-9(9):
- Berti M, Fanelli G, Casati A, Albertin A, Palmisano S, Deni F, et al. Patient supplemented epidural analgesia after major abdominal surgery with bupivacaine/fentanyl or ropivacaine/fentanyl. *Can J Anaesth*. 2000;47(1):27-32.
- Madhusudhana R, Kumar K, Kumar R, Potli S, Karthik D, Kapil M. Supraclavicular brachial plexus block with 0.75% ropivaciane and with additives tramadol, fentanyl a comparative pilot study. Int J Biol Med Res. 2011; 2 (4): 1061–1063.
- Yunxia Fan, Muhuo Ji, Lina Zang, Wenhui Wang, Qi Yin, Jian Xu &Jianjun Yang. Comparison of epidural tramadol-ropivacaine and fentanylropivacaine for labor analgesia: A prospective randomized study. Upsala Journal of Medical Sciences. 2011; 116: 252–257

Source of support: Nil Conflict of interest: None declared

This work is licensed under CC BY: Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.